

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL     | ING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/582,321      | 08      | 8/25/2000     | Thomas Garoff        | 0696-0171P              | 4182             |  |
| 2292            | 7590    | 05/05/2004    |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| BIRCH ST        | EWART I | COLASCH & BIR | СН                   | BROWN, JENNINE M        |                  |  |
| PO BOX 74       |         | 22040-0747    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| TALLS CIT       | onen, m | . 22010 0111  |                      | 1755                    |                  |  |
|                 |         |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence  | 09/582,321   | GAROFF ET AL.   | ()         |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |            |  |  |  |  |
|   | Jennine M. Brown   | 1755  |            |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |            |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | . s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat (35 U.S.C. § 133). | tion.      |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe  | ebruary 2004.  | •   |            |  |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | nce except for formal matters, pro   | secution as to the merits   | is         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 3 O.G. 213.   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | r election requirement.  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
|   | <b>r</b>   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti   |  |   | 1(d).      |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)  | -(d) or (f).  |            |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received.  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents   | s have been received in Applicati  | on No   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  | rity documents have been receive   | ed in this National Stage   |            |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not receive  | d.  |            |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |   |            |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | ate<br>atent Application (PTO-152)  |            |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:  | atoric reprioduori (i 10-102)   |            |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |   | *          |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/582,321

Art Unit: 1755

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants allege that the magnesium complex in claim 1 is soluble based on the examples but upon further examination of the examples, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. In example 1 which is the first alternative embodiment, the magnesium compound is placed into a solution but this creates a slurry which is non soluble. The toluene added to the slurry increased the dissolving capability but this does not necessarily mean that the complex was soluble or that the toluene was used to dissolve the magnesium compound to make a solution as claimed in independent claims 1 and 10. Furthermore, the introduction of the butyl-octyl magnesium in 20% heptane measured in grams does not necessitate a soluble compound either. In example 2 which is the second alternative embodiment, the magnesium compound again has a weight percent in heptane but again does not necessarily require that the compound be solubilized. The product produced is a solid therefore it would be logical that the

Application/Control Number: 09/582,321

Art Unit: 1755

compound would remain in a solid state during reaction unless for recrystallization purposes. In example 3 which is the third alternative embodiment the magnesium chloride is added into a reaction and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was added along with phthaloyl dichloride and kept under agitation, which leads the examiner to believe that the reaction mixture has solids and therefore would not be considered a solution. Again a solid product is obtained. The examiner went to the specification pages 5-8 to determine in a more specific way if there was a solubilized magnesium compound which was added to the reactants but the specification was silent on this matter, therefore it is concluded that the magnesium compound used was non-solubilized and therefore the amendment constitutes new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in response to this office action.

Assuming arguendo that the new matter is cancelled from the instant claims, the previous rejection is still applicable.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/582,321

Art Unit: 1755

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennine M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-1364. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmb

/ Mark L. Bell Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700